

Imprints of interacting dark universe on cosmological perturbations

Sudipta Das

Department of Physics, Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India

Collaborators: Manisha Banerjee, Srijita Sinha

Cosmology from Home, 2023

Based on arXiv:2204.05174 and 1805.07148

Cosmic Pie Chart





- Our universe is not only expanding but it is also accelerating!!
- □ ACDM model has been constrained with unprecedented accuracy but is troubled by the 'cosmological constant problem'.
- \Box We need to extent our imagination beyond standard $\land CDM$.
- Alternatives ~

Dynamical DE models (quintessence, k-essence, phantom, chaplygin gas...)

Modified gravity models (f(R) gravity models, scalar-tensor theories, Gauss-Bonnet gravity...)

Background equations:~

For a spatially flat, homogeneous and isotropic universe, Einstein field equations are given by Conformal time is defined as

$$3\mathscr{H}^2 = a^2 \kappa \sum_A \rho_A,$$
$$\mathscr{H}^2 + 2\mathscr{H}' = a^2 \kappa \sum_A p_A,$$

Conservation equations:

$$\rho_c' + 3\mathscr{H}\rho_c = 0,$$

$$\rho_{de}' + 3\mathscr{H}(1+w_{de})\rho_{de} = 0.$$

Conformal time is defined as $\tau = \int \frac{dt}{a(t)}$. $\mathscr{H}(\tau) = \frac{a'}{a(t)}$ is the conformal

 $\mathscr{H}(\tau) = \frac{a'}{a}$ is the conformal Hubble parameter.

 ρ_A and p_A represent the energy density and pressure of the different components of the Universe.

We have considered only cold dark matter (ρ_c) and dark energy (ρ_{de})components

Why DE-DM interaction??

- Provides more general scenario
- Can alleviate cosmological coincidence problem
- The conservation equations gets modified as

$$\rho_c' + 3\mathscr{H}\rho_c = -aQ,$$

$$\rho_{de}' + 3\mathscr{H}(1 + w_{de})\rho_{de} = aQ.$$

1 . 0 . 10

• Usually the form of interaction is chosen phenomenologically as

 $Q = Q(H\rho_c)$ or $Q = Q(H\rho_{de})$ or combination of both.

DE-DM interaction

We have considered the covariant form of $Q^{\mu} \, \text{as}$

Hence the interaction term can be expressed in the convenient form

$$Q = \frac{\mathscr{H}\rho_{de}\beta}{a}$$

Why this particular form??

B. Wang et al., Rept. Prog. Phys. 79 (9) (2016) 096901; arXiv: 1603.08299

$$Q^{\mu} = \frac{\mathscr{H}\rho_{de} u_{c}^{\mu} \beta}{a}$$
For homogeneous and isotropic background

$$\Psi = u_{\mu c} Q^{\mu}$$

A Toy Model

We have considered the following ansatz:

$$\frac{1}{\rho_{de}}\frac{d\rho_{de}}{da} = -\frac{\lambda a}{(\gamma+a)^2}$$

$$\rho_{de} = A \frac{\exp\left(\frac{-\lambda\gamma}{a+\gamma}\right)}{(a+\gamma)^2}$$

$$V(\phi) \approx A \exp(\alpha_1 \phi) + B \exp(\alpha_2 \phi)$$

- Double exponential potentials are well studied in the context of inflation as well as dark energy.
- For smaller values of *a*, it will behave like a *ACDM* model and the deviation from *ACDM* will be prominent at later times
- For γ =0, the above equation will provide a simple power law evolution of ρ_{de}

A Toy Model for interacting case:



- Most of the DE parametrizations considered in literature depicts ACDM model at present epoch.
- For smaller values of a, it will behave like a ACDM model and the deviation from ACDM will be prominent at later times



а

Background and perturbation analyses:

Table 1: Values of parameters used in this work.



Evolution of perturbations:

• The perturbed FLRW metric in a general gauge in conformal time is

$$ds^{2} = a^{2}(\tau) \{ -(1+2\phi)d\tau^{2} + 2\partial_{i}Bd\tau dx^{i} + [(1-2\psi)\delta_{ij} + 2\partial_{i}\partial_{j}E]dx^{i}dx^{j} \}$$

• The perturbation equations in Fourier space for dark matter and dark energy using synchronous gauge are respectively written as

Evolution of perturbations:

•

•

$$\delta_{c}' + kv_{c} + \frac{\mathsf{h}'}{2} = \mathscr{H}\beta\frac{\rho_{de}}{\rho_{c}}(\delta_{c} - \delta_{de}),$$

$$v_{c}' + \mathscr{H}v_{c} = 0,$$

$$\delta_{de}' + 3\mathscr{H}(c_{s,de}^{2} - w_{de})\delta_{de} + (1 + w_{de})\left(kv_{de} + \frac{\mathsf{h}'}{2}\right)$$

$$+ 3\mathscr{H}\left[3\mathscr{H}(1 + w_{de})\left(c_{s,de}^{2} - w_{de}\right)\right]\frac{v_{de}}{k} + 3\mathscr{H}w_{de}'\frac{v_{de}}{k}$$

$$= 3\mathscr{H}^{2}\beta\left(c_{s,de}^{2} - w_{de}\right)\frac{v_{de}}{k},$$

$$v_{de}' + \mathscr{H}(1 - 3c_{s,de}^{2})v_{de} - \frac{k\delta_{de}c_{s,de}^{2}}{(1 + w_{de})} = \frac{\mathscr{H}\beta}{(1 + w_{de})}\left[v_{c} - (1 + c_{s,de}^{2})v_{de}\right]$$
11

Initial conditions:

- The coupled differential equations are solved with $k = 0.1hMpc^{-1}$ using the publicly available Boltzmann code CAMB after suitably modifying it.
- The adiabatic initial conditions for δ_c , δ_{de} in presence of interaction are respectively

$$\delta_{ci} = \left[3 + \frac{\rho_{de}}{\rho_c}\beta\right] \frac{\delta_{\gamma}}{3(1+w_{\gamma})},$$

$$\delta_{dei} = \left[3(1+w_{de}) - \beta\right] \frac{\delta_{\gamma}}{3(1+w_{\gamma})}$$

Available at: https://camb.info

Results



Effect on CMB temperature and matter power spectrum



Numerical Investigation and Observational Constraint

We have considered following data sets:

- CMB : The latest 2018 data release of the Planck collaboration
- BAO (BOSS DR12, 6dFGS, SDSS-MGS)
- Pantheon

Table 2: Prior ranges of nine independent parameters considered in the CosmoMC analysis.

Parameter	Prior
$\Omega_b h^2$	[0.005, 0.1]
$\Omega_c h^2$	[0.001, 0.99]
$100 \theta_{MC}$	[0.5, 10]
τ	[0.01, 0.8]
β	[-0.001, 1.0]
λ	[0.0, 40.0]
γ	[0.0, 40.0]
$\ln(10^{10}A_s)$	[1.61, 3.91]
n _s	[0.8, 1.2]

Observational Constraints



Triangular plot of 2D and 1D posterior distribution of different cosmological parameters

Results

Parameter	Planck	Planck + BAO	<i>Planck</i> + BAO + Pantheon
$\Omega_b h^2$	0.022411 ± 0.000157	0.022468 ± 0.000146	0.022479 ± 0.000145
$\Omega_c h^2$	0.11960 ± 0.00120	0.118875 ± 0.000959	0.118764 ± 0.000923
$100 \theta_{MC}$	1.040795 ± 0.000315	1.040879 ± 0.000298	1.040886 ± 0.000297
τ	0.05338 ± 0.00724	0.05514 ± 0.00740	$0.05546\substack{+0.00675\\-0.00756}$
β	$0.00757^{+0.00276}_{-0.00657}$	$0.00781\substack{+0.00288\\-0.00672}$	$0.00790\substack{+0.00303\\-0.00661}$
λ	—		< 24.6
γ	> 15.9	> 17.3	> 20.7
$\ln(10^{10}A_s)$	$3.0454\substack{+0.0131\\-0.0146}$	3.0482 ± 0.0143	3.0485 ± 0.0145
n_s	0.96378 ± 0.00428	0.96540 ± 0.00393	0.96569 ± 0.00393
H_0	$66.94^{+1.02}_{-0.397}$	$67.473^{+0.607}_{-0.412}$	$67.660^{+0.464}_{-0.421}$
Ω_m	$0.31881\substack{+0.00590\\-0.0122}$	$0.31197\substack{+0.00559\\-0.00710}$	0.31000 ± 0.00571
σ_8	$0.8103\substack{+0.0102\\-0.00530}$	$0.81167\substack{+0.00784\\-0.00670}$	0.81280 ± 0.00688

Conclusions

- In this work we have revisited the dynamics of the scalar field dark energy models which was allowed to interact with the cold dark matter.
- Perturbative analysis shows that there was no significant effect on the matter density fluctuation for a lower rate of interaction
- With the increase in the strength of interaction of the coupling term, dark energy density fluctuations exhibited visible imprints in the early epochs of evolution.
- We have obtained the central value of the coupling parameter, β to be positive, indicating an energy flow from dark matter to dark energy.
- The parameters λ and γ are not tightly constrained
- For all the datasets, $\beta = 0$ lies outside the 1 σ error region.

