# Computing the Trispectrum for Axion Inflation

#### Matthew Reinhard, Zachary Slepian





Cosmology from Home 2023

# Symmetries of Nature

- The laws of physics are invariant under:
  - Time translations
  - Spatial translations
  - Rotations
- All known fundamentals forces are parity-symmetric except for the weak force.

# Parity

- A parity transformation is an inversion of all spatial coordinates.
- Parity transformations make a right hand appear as a left hand in the mirror.

$$P: \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -x \\ -y \\ -z \end{pmatrix}$$



### Large scale structure

- The universe is highly homogeneous and isotropic, but what about handedness?
- Are there more right handed or left handed configurations of galaxies?



# **Evidence for Parity Violation**

- Hou, Slepian, and Cahn 2022 reported evidence of parity violation at 7.1σ, also see Philcox 2022.
- The 4-Point Correlation Function (4PCF) is the simplest statistic sensitive to parity violation.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\zeta}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3) &\equiv \langle \delta(\mathbf{s}) \delta(\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{r}_1) \delta(\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{r}_2) \delta(\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{r}_3) \rangle \\ &= \int \frac{d\mathbf{s}}{V} \,\delta(\mathbf{s}) \delta(\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{r}_1) \delta(\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{r}_2) \delta(\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{r}_3) \end{aligned}$$

$$\delta(\mathbf{s}) \equiv \rho(\mathbf{s})/\bar{\rho} - 1$$

Hou et al. 2022 arXiv:2206.03625 Philcox 2022 arXiv:2206.03625

# What Caused Parity Violation?

- All fundamental interactions are parity-symmetric except for the weak interaction.
- Any parity violation in the LSS must have come from new physics early in the universe.
- Parity-violating interactions during inflation could seed the parity violation that we see today.

Cahn et al. 2021 arXiv:2110.12004

# Motivation for axions

•QCD Lagrangian contains a CP violating term

• Experimental bounds give  $\theta < 10^{-9}$  .

$$L_{\theta} = \theta \frac{g^2}{32\pi^2} F_a^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{a\mu\nu}.$$

• Strong CP Problem: Why is  $\theta$  so small (possibly zero)?

- Problem solved by introduction of a global U(1) Peccei-Quinn (PQ) symmetry. The breaking of this symmetry leads to production of axions.
- •Vacuum expectation value of the axion field exactly cancels the CPviolating term in the Lagrangian.

### **Axion Inflation**

- Standard model of inflation preserves parity.
- Axions are pseudo-scalars; they pick up a minus sign under parity.
- We consider an inflationary model with an axion coupled to a U(1) gauge field.

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{M_p^2}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} (\partial\varphi)^2 - V(\varphi) - \frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\alpha}{4f} \varphi \,\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \right]$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} \quad \mathbf{A}(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\lambda=\pm} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \left[ \epsilon_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k})a_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k})A_{\lambda}(\tau, \mathbf{k})e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + \mathrm{h.c.} \right]$$

$$A_+(\tau,k) \cong \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} \left(\frac{k}{2\xi aH}\right)^{1/4} e^{\pi\xi - 2\sqrt{2\xi k/(aH)}}$$

Barnaby et al. arXiv:1011.1500

# Computing the Trispectrum

- The density curvature perturbations are defined as  $\zeta = -H\delta\phi/\dot{\phi}$ .
- Inflaton perturbations

$$\delta\phi(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \, \frac{Q(\tau, \mathbf{k})}{a(\tau)} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}$$

• The inflaton perturbations can be separated into vacuum modes and an inverse decay modes.

$$Q(\tau, \mathbf{k}) = Q^{\mathrm{vac}}(\tau, \mathbf{k}) + Q^{\mathrm{inv}}(\tau, \mathbf{k})$$

• The density curvature perturbation trispectrum is given by

$$\begin{split} &\langle \zeta(\tau, \mathbf{k}_1) \zeta(\tau, \mathbf{k}_2) \zeta(\tau, \mathbf{k}_3) \zeta(\tau, \mathbf{k}_4) \rangle = \frac{H^4}{\dot{\phi} a^4} \left[ \langle Q^{\text{vac}}(\tau, \mathbf{k}_1) Q^{\text{vac}}(\tau, \mathbf{k}_2) Q^{\text{vac}}(\tau, \mathbf{k}_3) Q^{\text{vac}}(\tau, \mathbf{k}_4) \rangle \right. \\ &\left. + \left\langle Q^{\text{inv}}(\tau, \mathbf{k}_1) Q^{\text{inv}}(\tau, \mathbf{k}_2) Q^{\text{inv}}(\tau, \mathbf{k}_3) Q^{\text{inv}}(\tau, \mathbf{k}_4) \right\rangle \right] \end{split}$$

### **Source Function**

$$\begin{split} Q^{\text{inv}}(\tau, \mathbf{k}) &= \int_{-\infty}^{0} \mathrm{d}\tau' G(\tau, \tau', k) J(\tau', \mathbf{k}) \\ J(\tau, \mathbf{k}) &= -\frac{1}{\Lambda a(\tau)} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^{3}} q[\epsilon_{+}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}) \cdot \epsilon_{+}(\mathbf{q})] \\ &\times \left\{ a_{+}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}) A'_{+}(\tau, |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}|) a_{+}(\mathbf{q}) A_{+}(\tau, q) + a_{+}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}) A'_{+}(\tau, |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}|) a^{\dagger}_{+}(-\mathbf{q}) A^{*}_{+}(\tau, q) \right. \\ &+ a^{\dagger}_{+}(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}) A'^{**}_{+}(\tau, |\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}|) a_{+}(\mathbf{q}) A_{+}(\tau, q) + a^{\dagger}_{+}(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}) A'^{**}_{+}(\tau, |\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}|) a^{\dagger}_{+}(-\mathbf{q}) A^{*}_{+}(\tau, q) \right\}. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \zeta(\tau, \mathbf{k}_1) \zeta(\tau, \mathbf{k}_2) \zeta(\tau, \mathbf{k}_3) \zeta(\tau, \mathbf{k}_4) \rangle \\ &\propto \int_{-\infty}^0 \mathrm{d}\tau_1 \int_{-\infty}^0 \mathrm{d}\tau_2 \int_{-\infty}^0 \mathrm{d}\tau_3 \int_{-\infty}^0 \mathrm{d}\tau_4 G(\tau, \tau_1, \mathbf{k}_1) G(\tau, \tau_2, \mathbf{k}_2) G(\tau, \tau_3, \mathbf{k}_2) G(\tau, \tau_4, \mathbf{k}_2) \\ &\times \langle J(\tau_1, \mathbf{k}_2) J(\tau_2, \mathbf{k}_2) J(\tau_3, \mathbf{k}_3) J(\tau_4, \mathbf{k}_4) \rangle \,. \end{aligned}$$

### **Counting Contractions**



Evaluation of the trispectrum involves integrating  $6 \times 4 \times 2 = 48$  different terms!

11

# A single contraction

- If we were to integrate out all delta functions we would be left with a 12-dimensional integral.
- We will reduce the dimensionality by expanding the delta functions.

# Dirac Delta Expansions

$$\begin{split} \delta_{\mathrm{D}}^{[3]}(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{p}) &= (4\pi)^{2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{\ell} \mathcal{R}_{\ell\ell}(q,p) \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} Y_{\ell}^{m*}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}) Y_{\ell}^{m}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}). \\ \delta_{\mathrm{D}}^{[3]}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{p}) &= (4\pi)^{3} \sum_{\ell_{1}\ell_{2}\ell_{3}} \sum_{m_{1}m_{2}m_{3}} i^{\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}+\ell_{3}} \mathcal{G}_{\ell_{1}\ell_{2}\ell_{3}}^{m_{1}m_{2}m_{3}} \mathcal{R}_{\ell_{1}\ell_{2}\ell_{3}}(k,q,p) Y_{\ell_{1}}^{m_{1}*}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) Y_{\ell_{2}}^{m_{2}*}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}) Y_{\ell_{3}}^{m_{3}*}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) \\ \delta_{\mathrm{D}}^{[3]}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{s}) &= (4\pi)^{4} \sum_{\ell_{1}\ell_{2}\ell_{3}\ell_{4}} \sum_{m_{1}m_{2}m_{3}m_{4}} \sum_{L,M} i^{\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}+\ell_{3}+\ell_{4}} \mathcal{K}_{\ell_{1}\ell_{2}\ell_{3}\ell_{4}L}^{m_{1}m_{2}m_{3}m_{4}M} \mathcal{R}_{\ell_{1}\ell_{2}\ell_{3}\ell_{4}}(k,q,p,s) \\ \times Y_{\ell_{1}}^{m_{1}*}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) Y_{\ell_{2}}^{m_{2}*}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}) Y_{\ell_{3}}^{m_{3}*}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) Y_{\ell_{4}}^{m_{4}*}(\hat{\mathbf{s}}) \\ \mathcal{R}_{\ell\ell}(p,q) &= \int \mathrm{d}x \, x^{2} j_{\ell}(px) j_{\ell}(px) j_{\ell_{3}}(qx) \end{split}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\ell_1\ell_2\ell_3\ell_4}(k,p,q,s) \equiv \int \mathrm{d}x \ x^2 j_{\ell_1}(kx) j_{\ell_2}(px) j_{\ell_3}(qx) j_{\ell_4}(qs) ds$$

### The Contraction Rewritten

$$\langle J(\tau, \mathbf{k_1}) J(\tau, \mathbf{k_2}) J(\tau, \mathbf{k_3}) J(\tau, \mathbf{k_4}) \rangle^{(1)} =$$

$$\sum_{\ell, \mathbf{m}} \sum_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} \sum_{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}} \sum_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}} (4\pi)^{12} i \sum_{i} (\ell_i + a_i + c_i + d_i) Y_{\ell_2}^{m_2*}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_4) Y_{c_1}^{d_1*}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_1) Y_{a_2}^{b_2*}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_3) Y_{e_1}^{f_1*}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_2)$$

$$\times \mathcal{G}_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3}^{m_1 m_2 m_3} \mathcal{G}_{c_1 c_2 c_3}^{d_1 d_2 d_3} \mathcal{G}_{a_1 a_2 a_3}^{b_1 b_2 b_3} \mathcal{G}_{e_1 e_2 e_3}^{f_1 f_2 f_3}$$

$$\times \int \int \int \int dx_1 \, dx_2 \, dx_3 \, dx_4 \, x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^2 j_{e_1}(k_2 x_4) j_{\ell_2}(k_4 x_1) j_{a_2}(k_3 x_2) j_{c_1}(k_1 x_3)$$

$$\times \int d^3 \mathbf{q}_4 \, q_4[\epsilon(\mathbf{q}_4) \cdot \epsilon(\mathbf{k}_4 - \mathbf{q}_4)] Y_{\ell_3}^{m_3*}(-\hat{\mathbf{q}}_4) Y_{c_3}^{d_3*}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}_4) A_+^{'*}(\tau_4, |\mathbf{k}_4 - \mathbf{q}_4|) A_+^*(\tau_4, q_4) j_{\ell_3}(q_4 x_1) j_{c_3}(q_4 x_3)$$

$$\int d^3 \mathbf{q}_3 \, q_3[\epsilon(\mathbf{q}_3) \cdot \epsilon(\mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{q}_3)] Y_{a_3}^{b_3*}(-\hat{\mathbf{q}}_3) Y_{e_3}^{f_3*}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}_3) A_+^{'*}(\tau_3, |\mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{q}_3|) A_+^*(\tau_3, q_3) j_{e_3}(q_3 x_4) j_{a_3}(q_3 x_2)$$

$$\int d^3 \mathbf{q}_4 \, q_1[\epsilon(\mathbf{q}_1) \cdot \epsilon(\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{q}_2)] Y_{e_2}^{f_2*}(-\hat{\mathbf{q}}_2) Y_{\ell_1}^{m_1*}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}_2) A_+^{'}(\tau_2, |\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{q}_2|) A_+(\tau_2, q_2) j_{e_2}(q_2 x_4) j_{\ell_1}(q_2 x_1)$$

$$\int d^3 \mathbf{q}_4 \, q_1[\epsilon(\mathbf{q}_1) \cdot \epsilon(\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{q}_1)] Y_{c_2}^{d_2*}(-\hat{\mathbf{q}}_1) Y_{a_1}^{b_{1*}}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}_1) A_+^{'}(\tau_1, |\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{q}_1|) A_+(\tau_1, q_1) j_{a_1}(q_1 x_2) j_{c_2}(q_1 x_3)$$

# Recognizing the Convolution

 $I_{\ell\ell'}^{mm'(1)}(\mathbf{k}, x, x', \tau) = \int d^{3}\mathbf{q} \ q[\epsilon(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \epsilon(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})] Y_{\ell}^{m*}(-\mathbf{\hat{q}}) Y_{\ell'}^{m'*}(\mathbf{\hat{q}}) A_{+}'(\tau, |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}|) A_{+}(\tau, q)$  $\times j_{\ell}(qx)j_{\ell'}(qx').$ 

 $\epsilon(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \epsilon(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{2} \left| \cos \alpha \cos \theta \cos \phi \cos \beta + \cos \alpha \cos \theta \sin \phi \sin \beta - \cos \phi \cos \beta - \sin \phi \sin \beta \right|$  $+\sin\alpha\sin\theta + i\left(\mathbf{\hat{q}}\times\frac{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}{|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}|}\cdot\mathbf{z}\right)\frac{\cos\alpha-\cos\theta}{\sin\alpha\sin\theta}$ 

Convolution: 
$$[f \star g](\mathbf{k}) = \int d^3 \mathbf{q} \ f(\mathbf{q})g(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})$$
Convolution
$$[f \star g](\mathbf{k}) = \mathcal{F} \left\{ \mathcal{F}^{-1} \{ f(\mathbf{q}) \} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \{ g(\mathbf{q}) \} \right\} (\mathbf{k})$$

15

Theorem:

# Final integrals

$$\mathbf{I}_{\ell\ell'}^{mm'(1)}(\mathbf{k}, x, x', \tau) \propto k^{\delta + \gamma - 1} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}u \, j_l(kux) j_{l'}(kux') u^{\delta} C_{\gamma}(u)$$

- We have been able to analytically compute all angular integrals.
- We are left with only radial integrals, greatly reducing the dimensionality of integration.
- Numerical calculation of the trispectrum for axion inflation is now much less computationally intensive.

# Conclusion

- We have shown how to simplify to calculation of the trispectrum for axion-gauge field inflation.
- We will use these techniques to numerically compute the trispectrum for a large range of external momenta combinations.
- We will use the DESI data to make a robust measurement of the Galaxy 4PCF and search for signatures of this axion-gauge field inflationary model and constrain the physics of the early universe.

### References

- "Measurement of Parity-Odd Modes in the Large-Scale 4-Point Correlation Function of SDSS BOSS DR12 CMASS and LOWZ Galaxies" arXiv:2206.03625
- "Large Nongaussianity in Axion Inflation" arXiv:1011.1500
- "Phenomenology of a Pseudo-Scalar Inflaton: Naturally Large Nongaussianity" arXiv:1102.4333
- "Probing Parity-Violation with the Four-Point Correlation Function of BOSS Galaxies" arXiv:2206.04227
- "A Test for Cosmological Parity Violation Using the 3D Distribution of Galaxies" arXiv:2110.12004
- "The Strong CP Problem and Axions" arXiv:hep-ph/0607268
- Image Credits: DESI Collaboration; Legacy Surveys; NASA/JPL-Caltech/UCLA, Montebest, logos-world.net